

September 12, 2003

Re: MDR #: M2-03-1705-01
IRO Certificate No.: 5055

In accordance with the requirement for TWCC to randomly assign cases to IROs, TWCC assigned your case to ____ for an independent review. ____ has performed an independent review of the medical records to determine medical necessity. In performing this review, ____ reviewed relevant medical records, any documents provided by the parties referenced above, and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the dispute.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating health care provider. Your case was reviewed by a physician who is Board Certified in Orthopedic Spinal Surgery.

Clinical History:

The male claimant injured his low back on-the-job on _____. An MRI of the lumbar spine was obtained in 1996, and at that time the patient was treated conservatively.

The patient presented again for re-evaluation in June 2002, and the physician seeing the patient requested a repeat MRI scan, given the patient's severe low back pain and the fact that he had not had evaluation with an MRI since 1996

Disputed Services:

Proposed repeat lumbar MRI.

Decision:

The reviewer disagrees with the determination of the insurance carrier. The requested service is medically necessary.

Rationale:

No decision for surgery can be made in this case based on the MRI scan from 1996. I do agree with the treating physician that a repeat MRI scan of the lumbar spine is medically necessary to correctly diagnose the patient's current condition.

I am the Secretary and General Counsel of ____ and I certify that the reviewing physician in this case has certified to our organization that there are no known conflicts of interest that exist between him and any of the treating physicians or other health care providers or any of the physicians or other health care providers who reviewed this care for determination prior to referral to the Independent Review Organization.

We are simultaneously forwarding copies of this report to the payor and the Texas Workers' Compensation Commission. This decision by ____ is deemed to be a Commission decision and order.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of this decision and has

a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings **within ten (10) days** of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings **within twenty (20) days** of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This Decision is deemed received by you **five (5) days** after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5 (d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
P.O. Box 40669
Austin, TX 78704-0012

A copy of this decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute.

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on September 12, 2003.

Sincerely,